# Results from the IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit

# Change is Possible



# Change is possible Results from the IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit

**Proposed quotation:** WWP EN. (2023). Change is possible: Results from the IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit.

Author: Berta Vall

**Year of publication: 2023** 



Funded by the European Union

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. The European Union cannot be held responsible for them.

# Contents

Evaluating the effectiveness of domestic violence perpetrator programmes	3
Data analysed	3
Results & conclusions	4
Decrease in violent behaviours	4
Awareness of jealousy and possessiveness	5
Survivor safety	5
Appendices	6
Appendix 1. Descriptive and frequency tables of violence.	6
Appendix 2. Descriptive and frequency tables of children's impact	8
Appendix 3. Descriptive and frequency tables of clients' changes.	13
Appendix 4. Descriptive and frequency tables of (ex-)partner's well-being and safety	20
Appendix 5. Descriptive and frequency tables of client's responsibility	22

# Evaluating the effectiveness of domestic violence perpetrator programmes

At the European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence (WWP EN), we are on a mission to stop domestic violence. We believe one important way to do that is by working with those who cause harm in close relationships. This is why one of our aims is to promote effective, evidence-based programmes for domestic violence perpetrators.

Some of the big questions we are researching: Do these programmes help men stop being abusive? Do survivors benefit from their (ex-)partners joining a perpetrator programme?

The European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence (WWP EN) believes that working with people perpetrating violence in close relationships is an impactful tool for ending intimate partner violence. This is why our network's aim is to promote effective, evidence-based domestic violence perpetrator work.

The big questions around perpetrator work are whether the men stop their abusive behaviours after finishing a programme, and if survivors benefit from their (ex-)partners joining a perpetrator programme.

In the past, it has been extremely difficult to evaluate perpetrator programmes for various methodological reasons (different programme characteristics, high participant turnover, different definitions of success, different tools used to measure success, etc.). To address these problems, WWP EN introduced the WWP EN IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit (IMPACT Toolkit). Programmes across Europe and beyond are using the toolkit to collect data in a way that's easy to compare, helping us understand what works.

The IMPACT Toolkit helps organisations see changes in perpetrator behaviour and the impact of that behaviour on the victim. With these insights, programmes can include changes to survivor safety in their evaluation.

You can read more about the IMPACT Toolkit in our publication <u>"The WWP EN IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit".</u>

#### Data analysed

Data for the analysis presented here was gathered from June 2019 to May 2023. It includes questionnaires answered by 196 perpetrators and 113 (ex-)partners, gathered by 19 organisations in the United Kingdom, Italy, Greece, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Albania, Serbia, Kosovo, and Bulgaria.

Data was analysed using longitudinal analyses of four questionnaires:

- T0 = before starting the programme
- T1 = beginning of the programme
- T2 = middle of the programme
- T3 = end of the programme)

Data was collected from participants who completed the programmes and their (ex-)partners (see Appendix 1). Thus, for longitudinal analyses, responses were matched over times (T0-T1-T2-T3) for 117 clients and 100 (ex-)partners. The appendices included show results obtained from all responses for each questionnaire.

Follow-up data (T4 = 6 months after the end of the programme) could only be included in the analysis of clients' changes. Due to the low response rate at this time measure (T4), follow-up data was not included in any other variables analysis.


#### **Results & conclusions**

The results elaborated in this report show

- 1. a significant decrease in violent behaviour across several types of violence,
- 2. an increase in the awareness that jealousy and possessiveness were important elements related to their violence and
- 3. a significant increase in survivors' perception of safety.

#### Decrease in violent behaviours

Both perpetrators and their (ex-)partners report significant decreases across emotional, physical and sexual violence after the programme.




It is relevant that the violent behaviour decreases at the end of the programme, although according to (ex-)partners they still suffer some emotional abusive behaviour. Both men in programme and their (ex-)partners have similar views about the violent behaviour at the end of the programme.

#### Awareness of jealousy and possessiveness

Before a programme

After finishing a programme, perpetrators were much more likely to recognise that their jealousy and possessiveness were important elements related to their violence.




Client

It is important that men in perpetrator programmes do not give external reasons for their violent behaviour (e.g. blaming the victim). Externalising their problems keeps them from changing their behaviour. By recognising internal reason for their violent behaviour (e.g. being jealous or possessive) they increase their readiness to change.

After a programme

#### Survivor safety

After their (ex-)partner had completed a programme, survivors felt much safer and reported less fear of their partner.



As seen above, almost half of (ex-)partners shared that they "never felt afraid" of their partners anymore. The main aim of perpetrator programmes is to increase safety of victims/survivors, therefore this result is crucial for measuring the achievement of success.

# Appendices

# Appendix 1. Descriptive and frequency tables of violence.

**Table 1.** Descriptive of violence reported by clients.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	117	1.336	0.341	0.032	0.255
Emotional behaviou	T1	117	1.423	0.333	0.031	0.234
Emotional benaviou	T2	117	1.191	0.249	0.023	0.209
	T3	117	1.093	0.187	0.017	0.172
	ТО	117	1.125	0.209	0.019	0.186
Physical behaviour	T1	117	1.141	0.210	0.019	0.184
Pilysical bellavioui	T2	117	1.068	0.211	0.020	0.198
	Т3	117	1.032	0.142	0.013	0.138
	TO	117	1.076	0.214	0.020	0.199
Sexual behaviour	T1	117	1.053	0.122	0.011	0.116
Jezuai Deliavioui	T2	117	1.063	0.218	0.020	0.205
	Т3	117	1.033	0.163	0.015	0.158

 Table 2. Descriptive of violence reported by (ex-)partners.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	100	1.921	0.506	0.051	0.263
Constituted behavious	T1	100	1.828	0.459	0.046	0.251
Emotional behaviour	T2	100	1.247	0.329	0.033	0.264
	T3	100	1.142	0.234	0.023	0.205
	T0	100	1.464	0.371	0.039	0.253
Physical behaviour	T1	100	1.366	0.305	0.032	0.223
	T2	100	1.064	0.185	0.019	0.174

	Tim	e N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТЗ	100	1.050	0.190	0.020	0.181
	ТО	100	1.403	0.447	0.045	0.318
Sexual behaviour	T1	100	1.373	0.483	0.048	0.352
Sexual pellaviour	T2	100	1.079	0.220	0.022	0.204
	T3	100	1.044	0.198	0.020	0.190

## Appendix 2. Descriptive and frequency tables of children's impact.

**Table 3.** Descriptive of children's impact reported by clients and (ex-)partners.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	66	1.340	0.113	0.014	0.084
Children' impact	T1	66	1.338	0.116	0.014	0.087
by client	T2	66	1.304	0.079	0.010	0.061
	Т3	66	1.292	0.069	0.008	0.053
	ТО	45	1.327	0.103	0.015	0.078
Children' impact	T1	45	1.267	0.145	0.022	0.114
by (ex-)partners	T2	45	1.311	0.090	0.013	0.069
	Т3	45	1.305	0.068	0.010	0.052

**Table 4.** Frequencies of children's impact reported by clients before starting the programme (T0).

Item	Respor	nse Count	s Total	Percentage (%)
I live with many shill doesn	No	124	214	57.94
I live with my children	Yes	90	214	4206
	No	147	214	68.69
I don't live with my children but I see them regularly	Yes	67	214	31.31
Mu ou poutage a constant a seconda de la decentra de la constant d	No	195	214	91.12
My ex-partner won't let me see the children (-)	Yes	19	214	8.88
The courts or state child protection have stopped me from	No	197	214	92.06
living with my children (-)	Yes	17	214	7.94
The courts or state child protection have stopped me having	No	202	214	94.39
contact/access (-)	Yes	12	214	5.61
The constitution of Constitution (19) and the constitution	No	204	214	95.33
I have applied to the court for contact with our children	Yes	10	214	4.67
	No	211	214	98.60

Item	Respons	se Count	s Total	Percentage (%)
My children have been removed and are being looked after by foster parents (-)	Yes	3	214	1.40
	No	188	214	87.85
I don't think our children were affected by the abuse	Yes	26	214	12.15
	No	176	214	82.24
One or more of my children is angry or upset with me (-)	Yes	38	214	17.76
One or more of my children is angry/upset with my partner/ex	No	197	214	92.06
because of what's happened (-)	Yes	17	214	7.94
One or more of my children is currently registered with the	No	192	214	89.72
state child protection as in need of protection because of the violence/abuse in our relationship (-)	Yes	22	214	10.28

**Table 5.** Frequencies of children's impact reported by (ex-)partners before starting the programme (T0).

Item	Respons	se Count	s Total	Percentage (%)
My partner/ex-partner lives with me and our children	No	54	100	54.00
wiy partiter/ex-partiter lives with the and our children	Yes	46	100	46.00
My partner/ex-partner doesn't live with me but has regular	No	78	100	78.00
contact with our children	Yes	22	100	22.00
I have refused to allow him contact with our children ( )	No	90	100	90.00
I have refused to allow him contact with our children (-)	Yes	10	100	10.00
The courts or state child protection have told my partner/ex he	No	89	100	89.00
can't live with our children (-)	Yes	11	100	11.00
The courts or state child protection have stopped him having	No	94	100	94.00
contact/access (-)	Yes	6	100	6.00
He has applied to the court for contact with our children	No	92	100	92.00
He has applied to the court for contact with our children	Yes	8	100	8.00

Item	Respons	se Count	s Total	Percentage (%)
My children have been removed and are being looked after by foster parents (-)	No	100	100	100.00
My partner doesn't think our children were affected by the	No	73	100	73.00
abuse	Yes	27	100	27.00
One or more of my children is angry or upset with my	No	76	100	76.00
partner/ex-partner (-)	Yes	24	100	24.00
One or more of my children is angry or upset with me because	No	95	100	95.00
of what's happened (-)	Yes	5	100	5.00
One or more of my children is currently registered with the	No	92	100	92.00
state child protection as in need of protection because of the violence/abuse in our relationship (-)	Yes	8	100	8.00

**Table 6.** Frequencies of children's impact reported by clients at the end of the programme (T3).

Item	Respon	se Count	s Total	Percentage (%)
I live with we abildue	No	91	176	51.70
I live with my children	Yes	85	176	48.30
I dow't live with you shildyon but I oo thous you wouldn't	No	112	176	63.64
I don't live with my children but I see them regularly	Yes	64	176	36.36
My ex-partner won't let me see the children (-)	No	166	176	94.32
	Yes	10	176	5.68
The courts or state child protection have stopped me from	No	166	176	94.32
living with my children (-)	Yes	10	176	5.68
The courts or state child protection have stopped me having	No	168	176	95.45
contact/access (-)	Yes	8	176	4.55
I have a continuit to the annual factor and to the arm shilden	No	162	176	92.05
I have applied to the court for contact with our children	Yes	14	176	7.95
	No	175	176	99.43

Item	Respon	Percentage (%)		
My children have been removed and are being looked after by foster parents (-)	Yes	1	176	0.57
Laborata de la compania de la compan	No	161	176	91.48
I don't think our children were affected by the abuse	Yes	15	176	8.52
	No	159	176	90.34
One or more of my children is angry or upset with me (-)	Yes	17	176	9.66
One or more of my children is angry/upset with my partner/ex	No	173	176	98.30
because of what's happened (-)	Yes	3	176	1.70
One or more of my children is currently registered with the	No	168	176	95.45
state child protection as in need of protection because of the violence/abuse in our relationship (-)	Yes	8	176	4.55

**Table 7.** Frequencies of children's impact reported by (ex-)partners at the end of the programme (T3).

Item	Respon	ise Count	s Total	Percentage (%)
My partner/ey partner lives with me and our children	No	41	86	47.67
My partner/ex-partner lives with me and our children	Yes	45	86	52.33
My partner/ex-partner doesn't live with me but has regular	No	69	86	80.23
contact with our children	Yes	17	86	19.77
Lhave refused to allow him contact with our children ( )	No	83	86	96.51
I have refused to allow him contact with our children (-)	Yes	3	86	3.49
The courts or state child protection have told my partner/ex he	No	82	86	95.35
can't live with our children (-)	Yes	4	86	4.65
The courts or state child protection have stopped him having contact/access (-)	No	86	86	100.00
He has applied to the court for contact with our children	No	86	86	100.00
My children have been removed and are being looked after by	No	84	86	97.67
foster parents (-)	Yes	2	86	2.33
	No	78	86	90.70

Item	Respon	se Counts	Total	Percentage (%)
My partner doesn't think our children were affected by the abuse	Yes	8	86	9.30
One or more of my children is angry or upset with my partner/ex-partner (-)	No	74	86	86.05
	Yes	12	86	13.95
One or more of my children is angry or upset with me because	No	83	86	96.51
of what's happened (-)	Yes	3	86	3.49
One or more of my children is currently registered with the	No	83	86	96.51
state child protection as in need of protection because of the violence/abuse in our relationship (-)	Yes	3	86	3.49

## Appendix 3. Descriptive and frequency tables of clients' changes.

 Table 8. Descriptive of clients' changes reported by clients and (ex-)partners.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
Clients' changes	Т3	213	1.442	0.106	0.007	0.073
reported by clients	T4	38	1.497	0.110	0.018	0.073
Clients' changes	Т3	114	1.557	0.154	0.014	0.099
reported by (ex-)partners	T4	29	1.468	0.309	0.057	0.210

**Table 9.** Frequencies of clients' changes reported by clients at the end of the programme (T3).

Item	Respo	nse Counts	Total	Percentage (%)
I have standed value violance	No	82	192	42.71
I have stopped using violence	Yes	110	192	57.29
I have standed using abusing behaviour	No	158	213	74.18
I have stopped using abusive behaviour	Yes	55	213	25.82
Nothing has shapped and Law still value violance ( )	No	210	213	98.59
Nothing has changed and I am still using violence (-)	Yes	3	213	1.41
Nothing has changed and I am still abusive (-)	No	154	213	72.30
	Yes	59	213	27.70
I haliana man manta an lan ia nata afuaid af ma	No	159	213	74.65
I believe my partner/ex is not afraid of me	Yes	54	213	25.35
I baliava mu nartnar/av is still afraid of ma / )	No	168	213	78.87
I believe my partner/ex is still afraid of me (-)	Yes	45	213	21.13
I haliana man manta an /an fa ala safa anannad ma	No	180	213	84.51
I believe my partner/ex feels safe around me	Yes	33	213	15.49
I believe my partner/ex feels less safe around me than before (-)	No	206	213	96.71
	Yes	7	213	3.29
Things have generally got worse between us (-)	No	189	213	88.73

ltem		nse Count	Percentage (%)	
	Yes	24	213	11.27
My partner decided not to and the relationship	No	152	213	71.36
My partner decided not to end the relationship	Yes	61	213	28.64
Our relationship is better	No	153	213	71.83
Our relationship is better	Yes	60	213	28.17
My ex-partner and I ended the relationship amicably	No	177	213	83.10
iviy ex-partiter and rended the relationship anneably	Yes	36	213	16.90
My partner/ex and I can work well together on the upbringing	No	188	213	88.26
of our children	Yes	25	213	11.74
I am allowed to have contact with my children	No	162	213	76.06
Tamanowea to have contact with my children	Yes	51	213	23.94
I believe my children are not afraid of me	No	179	213	84.04
	Yes	34	213	15.96
I believe my children are still afraid of me (-)	No	156	213	73.24
Thereve my children are still arraid of the (-)	Yes	57	213	26.76
I believe I am a better parent to my children	No	178	213	83.57
r believe raili a better parent to my children	Yes	35	213	16.43
I believe I am the same parent as before (-)	No	209	213	98.12
r believe rain the same parent as before (-)	Yes	4	213	1.88
I believe I am a worse parent to my children (-)	No	209	213	98.12
r believe raili a worse parent to my children (-)	Yes	4	213	1.88
I fulfilled my criminal court sentence or bail or parole	No	205	213	96.24
conditions	Yes	8	213	3.76
I haven't gone back to pricen again	No	175	213	82.16
I haven't gone back to prison again	Yes	38	213	17.84
I holiovo my futuro rolationshino will be non abveiva	No	182	213	85.45
I believe my future relationships will be non-abusive	Yes	31	213	14.55

Item	Response Counts Total			Percentage (%)
NAV suggest a suggestion ship is a sea shousing	No	189	213	88.73
My current new relationship is non-abusive	Yes	24	213	11.27

Table 10. Frequencies of clients' changes reported by (ex-)partners at the end of the programme (T3).

Item	Response Counts Total Percenta			
He stepped using violence	No	61	114	53.51
He stopped using violence	Yes	53	114	46.49
He stopped using abusive behaviour	No	50	114	43.86
The stopped using abusive behaviour	Yes	64	114	56.14
Nothing has changed and he is still using violence (-)	No	104	114	91.23
Two thing has changed and he is still asing violence ( )	Yes	10	114	8.77
Nothing has changed and he is still abusive (-)	No	105	114	92.11
5	Yes	9	114	7.89
I am not afraid of him	No	75	114	65.79
	Yes	39	114	34.21
I am still afraid of him (-)	No	102	114	89.47
	Yes	12	114	10.53
I feel safe around him	No	73	114	64.04
Treer sale around min	Yes	41	114	35.96
I feel less safe around him than before (-)	No	112	114	98.25
Treeriess sale around min than before ( )	Yes	2	114	1.75
Things have generally got worse between us (-)	No	103	114	90.35
Timigs have generally got worse between us (-)	Yes	11	114	9.65
I decided not to end the relationship	No	92	114	80.70
ractice not to the the relationship	Yes	22	114	19.30
Our relationship is better	No	63	114	55.26
our relationship is better	Yes	51	114	44.74

Item	Respo	nse Counts	Total	Percentage (%)
We have and of the relationship amissibly	No	109	114	95.61
We have ended the relationship amicably	Yes	5	114	4.39
We can work well together on the upbringing of our children	No	102	114	89.47
we can work wen together on the uppringing or our children	Yes	12	114	10.53
He is allowed to have contact with our children	No	104	114	91.23
	Yes	10	114	8.77
My children are no longer afraid of him	No	95	114	83.33
wy children are no longer arraid of film	Yes	19	114	16.67
My children are still afraid of him (-)	No	110	114	96.49
Twy children are still arraid of film (-)	Yes	4	114	3.51
His parenting is improved	No	76	114	66.67
This parenting is improved	Yes	38	114	33.33
His parenting is just the same (-)	No	102	114	89.47
	Yes	12	114	10.53
His parenting is worse (-)	No	114	114	100.00

**Table 11.** Frequencies of clients' changes reported by clients six months after the end of the programme (T4).

Item	Response Counts Total			Percentage (%)
I have stopped using violence	No	14	38	36.84
	Yes	24	38	63.16
I have stopped using abusive behaviour	No	19	38	50.00
	Yes	19	38	50.00
Nothing has changed and I am still using violence (-)	No	38	38	100.00
Nothing has changed and I am still abusive (-)	No	38	38	100.00
I believe my partner/ex is not afraid of me	No	28	38	73.68
	Yes	10	38	26.32

ltem	Response Counts Total			Percentage (%)	
	No	35	38	92.11	
I believe my partner/ex is still afraid of me (-)	Yes	3	38	7.89	
	No	27	38	71.05	
I believe my partner/ex feels safe around me	Yes	11	38	28.95	
believe my partner/ex feels less safe around me than before	No	36	38	94.74	
(-)	Yes	2	38	5.26	
Things have generally got worse between us (-)	No	36	38	94.74	
Timigs have generally got worse seeween as ( )	Yes	2	38	5.26	
My partner decided not to end the relationship	No	34	38	89.47	
wiy partiter decided not to end the relationship	Yes	4	38	10.53	
Our relationship is better	No	22	38	57.89	
	Yes	16	38	42.11	
My ex-partner and I ended the relationship amicably	No	32	38	84.21	
	Yes	6	38	15.79	
My partner/ex and I can work well together on the upbringing	No	31	38	81.58	
of our children	Yes	7	38	18.42	
am allowed to have contact with my children	No	37	38	97.37	
rum unowed to have contact with my children	Yes	1	38	2.63	
l believe my children are not afraid of me	No	31	38	81.58	
r believe my children are not unala of me	Yes	7	38	18.42	
believe my children are still afraid of me (-)	No	38	38	100.00	
believe I am a better parent to my children	No	24	38	63.16	
. select of an a sector parent to my omaten	Yes	14	38	36.84	
believe I am the same parent as before (-)	No	36	38	94.74	
i believe i alli tile saille parelit as belore (-)	Yes	2	38	5.26	
believe I am a worse parent to my children (-)	No	38	38	100.00	
	No	35	38	92.11	

Item	Response Counts Total			Percentage (%)
I fulfilled my criminal court sentence or bail or parole conditions	Yes	3	38	7.89
I haven't gone back to prison again	No	37	38	97.37
	Yes	1	38	2.63
The Property Control of the Control	No	28	38	73.68
I believe my future relationships will be non-abusive	Yes	10	38	26.32
My current new relationship is non-abusive	No	32	38	84.21
	Yes	6	38	15.79

**Table 12.** Frequencies of clients' changes reported by (ex-)partners six months after the end of the programme (T4).

Item	Response Counts Total Percentage (%				
Lie stemped using violence	No	19	28	67.86	
He stopped using violence	Yes	9	28	32.14	
He stepped using abusing behaviour	No	18	28	64.29	
He stopped using abusive behaviour	Yes	10	28	35.71	
Nothing has about and had in still unit and in so it leads ( )	No	27	28	96.43	
Nothing has changed and he is still using violence (-)	Yes	1	28	3.57	
Notice by the second and by the street A	No	25	28	89.29	
Nothing has changed and he is still abusive (-)	Yes	3	28	10.71	
	No	21	28	75.00	
I am not afraid of him	Yes	7	28	25.00	
Lower skill of soid of him ( )	No	27	28	96.43	
I am still afraid of him (-)	Yes	1	28	3.57	
I feel out a manual bine	No	23	28	82.14	
I feel safe around him	Yes	5	28	17.86	
I feel less safe around him than before (-)	No	28	28	100.00	
Things have generally got worse between us (-)	No	26	28	92.86	

Item	Response Counts Total Percentage (%)				
	Yes	2	28	7.14	
I decided not to end the relationship	No	22	28	78.57	
r decided not to end the relationship	Yes	6	28	21.43	
Our relationship is better	No	18	28	64.29	
our relationship is better	Yes	10	28	35.71	
We have ended the relationship amicably	No	25	28	89.29	
we have ended the relationship anneably	Yes	3	28	10.71	
No		23	28	82.14	
We can work well together on the upbringing of our childr	Yes	5	28	17.86	
He is allowed to have contact with our children	No	27	28	96.43	
	Yes	1	28	3.57	
My children are no longer afraid of him	No	27	28	96.43	
wy children are no longer arraid or him	Yes	1	28	3.57	
My children are still afraid of him (-)	No	27	28	96.43	
wy children are still arraid of film (-)	Yes	1	28	3.57	
His parenting is improved	No	21	28	75.00	
rns parenting is improved	Yes	7	28	25.00	
His parenting is just the same (-)	No	26	28	92.86	
ins parenting is just the same (-)	Yes	2	28	7.14	
His parenting is werse ( )	No	27	28	96.43	
His parenting is worse (-)	Yes	1	28	3.57	

## Appendix 4. Descriptive and frequency tables of (ex-)partner's well-being and safety.

 Table 13. Descriptive of (ex-)partner's well-being.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	98	3.388	0.904	0.091	0.267
Anxious feeling	T1	98	3.051	0.817	0.083	0.268
	T2	98	2.520	0.864	0.087	0.343
	T3	98	2.296	0.864	0.087	0.376
	T0	98	2.959	0.984	0.099	0.332
Depressed feeling	T1	98	2.490	0.955	0.096	0.384
	<b>в</b> Т2	98	1.888	0.823	0.083	0.436
	T3	98	1.867	0.768	0.078	0.412

**Table 14.** Descriptive of (ex-)partner's fear reported by clients and (ex-)partners.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	153	1.810	0.958	0.077	0.529
(Ex-)partner's fear	·T1	153	1.869	0.930	0.075	0.497
by clients	T2	153	1.614	0.820	0.066	0.508
	T3	153	1.425	0.792	0.064	0.556
	T0	98	2.969	1.222	0.123	0.412
(Ex-)partner's fear	·T1	98	2.755	1.056	0.107	0.383
by (ex-)partners	T2	98	1.857	0.885	0.089	0.477
	T3	98	1.776	0.868	0.088	0.489

**Table 15.** Descriptive of police call-outs reported by clients and (ex-)partners.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	163	1.540	0.705	0.055	0.458
Police call-outs	T1	163	1.571	0.778	0.061	0.495
by clients	T2	163	1.098	0.372	0.029	0.339
	T3	163	1.092	0.382	0.030	0.350
	ТО	100	1.720	0.766	0.077	0.446
Police call-outs	T1	100	1.670	0.933	0.093	0.558
by (ex-)partners	T2	100	1.190	0.506	0.051	0.426
	T3	100	1.160	0.526	0.053	0.454

## Appendix 5. Descriptive and frequency tables of client's responsibility.

**Table 16.** Descriptive of violence responsibility reported by clients.

	Time	N	Mean	SD	SE	Coefficient of variation
	ТО	166	1.335	0.211	0.016	0.158
Client's	T1	166	1.355	0.113	0.009	0.083
responsibility	<b>/</b> T2	166	1.349	0.138	0.011	0.102
	T3	166	1.371	0.138	0.011	0.100

**Table 17.** Frequencies of client's responsibility reported by clients before starting the programme (T0).

Respons	se Counts	Total	Percentage (%)
No	192	271	70.85
Yes	79	271	29.15
No	224	271	82.66
Yes	47	271	17.34
No	244	271	90.04
Yes	27	271	9.96
No	228	271	84.13
Yes	43	271	15.87
No	233	271	85.98
Yes	38	271	14.02
No	209	271	77.12
Yes	62	271	22.88
No	221	271	81.55
Yes	50	271	18.45
No	236	271	87.08
Yes	35	271	12.92
No	198	271	73.06
Yes	73	271	26.94
	No Yes	No       192         Yes       79         No       224         Yes       47         No       244         Yes       27         No       228         Yes       43         No       233         Yes       38         No       209         Yes       62         No       221         Yes       50         No       236         Yes       35         No       198	Yes 79 271  No 224 271  Yes 47 271  No 244 271  Yes 27 271  No 228 271  Yes 43 271  No 233 271  Yes 38 271  No 209 271  Yes 62 271  No 221 271  No 221 271  No 236 271  Yes 35 271  No 198 271

Item	Response Counts Total Percentage (%)				
Because you were jealous/possessive	No	204	271	75.28	
because you were jearous/possessive	Yes	67	271	24.72	

 Table 18. Frequencies of client's responsibility reported by clients at the end of the programme (T3).

Item	Response Counts Total Percentage (%)					
To stop her from doing comothing	No	172	211	81.52		
To stop her from doing something	Yes	39	211	18.48		
Made you feel in control	No	167	211	79.15		
Made you leef in control	Yes	44	211	20.85		
Because she was laughing at you (-)	No	191	211	90.52		
because sile was laugilling at you (-)	Yes	20	211	9.48		
Because she betrayed/rejected you (-)	No	188	211	89.10		
because sile betrayed/rejected you (-)	Yes	23	211	10.90		
To make her de comething you wanted her to de	No	168	211	79.62		
To make her do something you wanted her to do	Yes	43	211	20.38		
Because you didn't trust her	No	151	211	71.56		
because you didn't trust nei	Yes	60	211	28.44		
Because of your alcohol/drug use	No	169	211	80.09		
because of your according use	Yes	42	211	19.91		
To stop her from leaving you	No	194	211	91.94		
to stop her from leaving you	Yes	17	211	8.06		
Didn't feel good enough/felt insecure	No	147	211	69.67		
Didn't leer good enough/left insecure	Yes	64	211	30.33		
Pagauga yan wara isalaus/paggagiya	No	128	211	60.66		
Because you were jealous/possessive	Yes	83	211	39.34		



#### European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence – WWP EN

info@work-with-perpetrators.eu

Greifswalder Straße 136 D-10409 Berlin

www.work-with-perpetrators.eu



(C) european\_network\_wwp



