|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **Impact toolkit report pro-forma for DVPP outputs and outcomes** |
| Toolkit from the Daphne III project “IMPACT: Evaluation of European Perpetrator Programmes |

|  |
| --- |
|  |

This product has been produced with the financial support of the DAPHNE Programme of the European Union. The contents of this website are the sole responsibility of Dissens - Institute for Education and Research e.V. and the project partners and can in no way be taken to reflect the views of the European Commission.

**Report on the use and impact of INSERT NAME OF PROGRAMME domestic violence intervention perpetrator programme during the year INSERT YEAR**

**DATE**

**AUTHOR**

**Introduction**

This report analyses the data about referrals to, engagement with and the impact of the work of the INSERT NAME during the year INSERT TIME PERIOD.

Data has been analysed using the following methods:

1. Referral information from INSERT NAMES/TYPES OF REFERRING AGENCIES
2. Questionnaires used with clients about their demographic profile, the history of violence and abuse, any criminal involvement, and other characteristics: data taken at intake.
3. Questionnaires used with partners of clients about the same data, from their experience, taken at intake.
4. Forms gathering information about the history and recent use of violence and abuse by the perpetrator at intake, half way through programme and at the time the client stops attending [and six months after the client stops attending]. Data on these forms is gathered from various sources: the perpetrator, their most recent victim, and new partners, police, social services and any other relevant sources of information [delete those not used].

We have reported on the referrals and use of the programme by male and female clients. However, we have only reported on the impact of the programme work with clients who are male perpetrators abusing female partners/ex-partners. This is because the evidence base for evaluating any other category of perpetrator is insufficient at this point.

We have gathered data using the toolkit produced by the project [IMPACT](http://www.impact.work-with-perpetrators.eu/index.php?id=13)  - “Evaluation of European Perpetrator Programmes” under the [DAPHNE III programme to prevent and combat violence against children, adolescents and women and to protect victims and groups at risk](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/fundamental-rights/programme/daphne-programme/index_en.htm) of the European Comissioin. During 2013 and 2014 the project reviewed existing measurements, reviewed research and consulted practitioners. The project partners developed the draft tools using the evaluation instruments developed by the University of Bristol (one of the project partners). They then asked practitioners working in programmes across the EU to test out the tool and give feedback. At a European conference in Barcelona in October 2014 the full draft kit was presented and further reviewed. Following final adjustments, this toolkit was completed for use in November 2014.

This report was prepared from the report pro-forma and using the data gathered using the questionnaires in the toolkit.

**About our programme**

The programme was set up in INSERT YEAR. It is run by INSERT NAME OF ORGANISATION OR PROFESSIONAL SETTING.

The primary purposes of our work with perpetrators are:

1. To prevent future violence and abuse
2. To increase the safety and welfare of victims and their children
3. To improve inter-agency and community responses to domestic violence
4. To improve parenting of perpetrators of domestic violence

The programme uses a model of work which is:

*DESCRIBE MODEL OF WORK: ANY MANUAL USED, PROFESSIONAL SETTTING*

Our funding comes from: INSERT SOURCES OF FUNDING*.*

WE charge participants for participation in our programme:

Referrals comes from the following sources DELETE THOSE WHICH DON’T APPLY*:* police, courts, probation, social services, counselling, substance misuse, domestic violence victims’ services, etc

We work with the following types of clients DELET THOSE WHICH DON’T APPLY:

1. Perpetrators convicted by the criminal court and required to attend our programme as part of a community based sentence
2. Perpetrators convicted by the criminal court, sentenced to prison and attending the programme whilst in prison
3. Perpetrators referred by social services/child protection
4. Perpetrators referred by family court during child custody/access processes
5. Perpetrators self-referred
6. Perpetrators referred from other sources

We only work with male perpetrators using domestic violence against female partners or ex-partners.

OR

We work with male or female perpetrators using domestic violence against partners or ex-partners.

We received INSERT NUMBER of referrals in this year. Since we started work, we have received INSERT NUMBER of referrals in total and have worked with INSERT NUMBER of clients.

We run INSERT NUMBER of group sessions each week, with a minimum of INSERT NUMBER of participants and a maximum of INSERT NUMBER. We typically work with INSERT NUMBER in one year.

OR

We offer individual work with clients and can work with up to INSERT NUMBER of clients at any one time. We typically work with INSERT NUMBER in one year.

OR

We offer a combination of individual work and group work with clients and typically work with INSERT NUMBER at any one time. We typically work with INSERT NUMBER in one year.

**Staffing:**

Programme manager (full/part time):

Practice manager/supervisor:

Perpetrator workers:

Partner support workers:

Clinical supervision:

**Context for our work**

Domestic violence is illegal in INSERT NAME OF COUNTRY.

The following criminal offences may apply:

* Murder
* Manslaughter
* Rape
* Sexual assault
* Assault causing grievous bodily harm
* Assault causing actual bodily harm
* Common Assault
* Harassment or stalking
* Threats to kill

Civil remedies can also be sought by victims and/or third parties DELETE AS APPLICABLE

* Injunctions/orders requiring the perpetrator to leave the family home
* Injunctions/orders requiring the perpetrator not to hit or otherwise assault the victim
* Injunctions/orders requiring the perpetrator not to harass/stalk the victim

Our national/local government has a strategy to tackle domestic violence/violence against women and girls/gender based violence. This was created in INSERT YEAR. We are involved in developing this strategy in the following ways:

1. We sit on the national/local steering group
2. We are funded through this strategy
3. We provide data
4. We give our opinions on progress
5. We share information with other local agencies about the impact of the strategy

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

We do/do not have a local structure for sharing information about risks from individual domestic violence perpetrators.

We do/do not have specialist domestic violence courts.

We do/do not have shelters/refuges for victims and children.

We do/do not have a national helpline.

**Please remember**

When you are analysing your data you will need to take these things into account or at least make sure you keep these statements in the report.

Programmes are not a substitute for the criminal or civil law or other ways of protecting women and children. Women may need support, advice, legal help, refuge or other protection for themselves and their children. The police must not treat the programme as an alternative to arresting and charging men if they are suspected of committing criminal and dangerous acts.

Programmes sometimes appear to have no impact on a man’s use of violence and abuse against his partner, or they can’t show this because the relationship has ended and there is no contact. Sometimes things may get worse and sometimes this can be because of factors over which the programme has no control, such as a failure in another part of the legal or protection system. We don’t always know why this happens and we want to learn more about this.

Sometimes this can mean the woman feels less safe or more fearful. Sometimes him being on the programme means she gets help in other ways to be safer which would not have happened otherwise. For example, she may have been waiting for him to go on the programme as a last chance and decide that she has given him every opportunity to change and is now going to end the relationship. Another example is that she may have support or information and advice from the programme or programme partner organisations which she would not otherwise have had. This should also be treated as a success.

Sometimes the programme will identify problems for women or children who weren’t identified before – this is a success, even though it will show up that a problem not identified at the start has been identified by the end. This could be misunderstood but it is an important aspect of how programmes can contribute to community safety.

**Report content**

On the following pages we report on the referrals taken (male and female perpetrators) and clients who completed. We then report on the **impact of the work done with men** who have abused female partners/ex-partners, for work which took place during the year INSERT YEAR. The reason for evaluating only the work done with male perpetrators is that the evidence and research basis for work with female perpetrators is not yet sufficient do this with adequate rigour.

**SECTION ONE: REFERRALS (all clients, male and female)**

**Clients referred as perpetrators in the year INSERT YEAR**

In this year the programme had the following number of referrals of clients referred as *perpetrators of domestic violence*:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Referral source** | **Number of clients men (referred as using IPV)** | **Number of clients women (referred as using IPV)** |
| Self-referral – from poster or internet or other |  |  |
| Police |  |  |
| Child protection service |  |  |
| Criminal courts |  |  |
| Probation |  |  |
| Civil courts (injunction) |  |  |
| Civil courts (child proceedings such as custody/access) |  |  |
| Addiction service |  |  |
| Health – doctor/hospital etc. |  |  |
| Helpline |  |  |
| Friends/family/colleagues |  |  |
| Partner or ex-partner |  |  |
| Counselling or other mental health service |  |  |
| Relationship counselling |  |  |
| Restorative justice project |  |  |
| religious place (church, mosque, temple etc.) |  |  |
| Prison – part of custodial sentence |  |  |
| *Somewhere else – please say where:* |  |  |

**The demographic profile of the referrals was as follows:**

**Gender:** INSERT NUMBER men were referred as perpetrators and INSERT NUMBER women were referred as perpetrators.

We are aware of the potential for some clients to be wrongly referred as perpetrators for using violence in self-defence or to protect children, particularly women. We therefore carry out assessments to find out who is doing what to whom and with what consequences.

The data in this section is about men and women referred for their own use of abuse to a partner or ex-partner and identified at referral as perpetrators. This will therefore include some clients who have been wrongly identified. We are presenting this data to give a report of the people who are referred to our service.

There is data lower down this section of the report about the results of the assessment of who is doing what to whom.

**Relationship status:** INSERT NUMBERS AS APPROPRIATE at referral

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Men (referred as perpetrators)** | **Women (referred as perpetrators)** |
| Married/civil partnership/equivalent and living together |  |  |
| Cohabiting but not married etc |  |  |
| Not cohabiting or married |  |  |
| Married etc but separated |  |  |
| Not in relationship |  |  |

**Age group at referral:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Age group** | **Number of men referred for use of IPV (perpetrators)** | **Number of women referred for use of IPV (perpetrators)** |
| Under 18 |  |  |
| 18 – 21 |  |  |
| 22 – 30 |  |  |
| 31 – 40 |  |  |
| 41 – 50 |  |  |
| 51 – 60 |  |  |
| Over 60 |  |  |

**Employment status at referral:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Employment status** | **Men (referred as perpetrators)** | **Women (referred as perpetrators)** |
| Employed full time |  |  |
| Employed part time |  |  |
| Combining part-time employment with caring for children/family |  |  |
| Unemployed |  |  |
| Unemployed but caring for children/family |  |  |
| Full time caring for children/family |  |  |
| In Education/training |  |  |
| Retired |  |  |
| Unable to work because of sickness |  |  |
| Other |  |  |

**Income at referral:**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **INCOME** | **Men (referred as perpetrators)** | **Women (referred as perpetrators)** |
| Struggling to pay for the essentials (home, bills, food, child support, travel to work) |  |  |
| Managing to pay for essentials but nothing left over |  |  |
| Managing to buy the occasional treat or save sometimes |  |  |
| Managing regular treats and saving or holiday |  |  |
| Comfortably managing – don’t have to worry |  |  |
| High income |  |  |
| Not sure or didn’t answer |  |  |

**The history of involvement with the criminal justice system** *PLEASE NOTE: THIS SECTION IS RECOMMENDED BUT USES DATA WHICH IS NOT COLLECTED IN THE TOOLKIT – SOME ORGANISATIONS MAY NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THIS DATA BUT IT IS ADVISABLE TO ATTEMPT TO GATHER THIS INFORMATION*

Data was collected from various sources at intake about the involvement of each perpetrator with the criminal justice system. Every effort is made to ensure that this information is as complete as possible. However, it is possible that some information was not provided. The following information should therefore be taken as a minimum of possible involvement.

*Police ever called to the home for suspected domestic violence*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Number of times | Number of perpetrators |
| Never |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

*Number ever arrested:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number of times | For crime against partner/ex | For other violent crime |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Number of perpetrators ever charged:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number of times | For crime against partner/ex | For other violent crime |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Number of perpetrators ever in court:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number of times | For crime against partner/ex | For other violent crime |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

*Number ever convicted:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Number of times | For crime against partner/ex | For other violent crime |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Results of assessment**

When men or women are referred to our programme because of suspected use of intimate partner violence we assess them to see what is happening and what their needs are.

Sometimes we learn that they have been referred to us incorrectly. For example, they may be referred to our programme for other types of violence or problem behaviour.

Sometimes victims use violence to defend themselves or their children or for other reasons as a result of the violence they are experiencing. This can sometimes mean that they are wrongly identified as perpetrators by some organisations or by themselves. Sometimes they are referred to our programme. We also know that most genuine perpetrators also tend to emphasise any violence used against them and minimise the violence they use against their partners. This can often lead to women being identified as perpetrators, particularly if they have to use a weapon to defend themselves, or if their partner points to injuries she has inflicted in self-defence.

We are careful to assess as far as possible who is doing what to whom and with what consequences. This helps us to work out if the referral is the main or sole perpetrator or not.

During the last year we assessed our referrals as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Men** | **Women** | **Total** |
| Referred as perpetrators |  |  |  |
| Assessed by us |  |  |  |
| Identified as perpetrators following our assessment |  |  |  |
| Identified as victims following our assessment |  |  |  |
| Offered a place on our programme |  |  |  |
| Referred to a support service for victims |  |  |  |
| Started our perpetrator programme |  |  |  |
| Referred to a different agency |  |  |  |
| Something else: |  |  |  |

**PROGRAMME USE**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Men using intimate partner violence | Women using intimate partner violence |
| Number referred |  |  |
| Number assessed |  |  |
| Number offered programme place |  |  |
| Number who attended at least one session |  |  |
| Number who attended 12 -24 weeks |  |  |
| Number who attended for 24 or more weeks |  |  |

Please note that some of the clients who attended programme were referred or assessed or started during the previous year.

**Partner contact**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Men using intimate partner violence** | **Women using intimate partner violence** |
| Number of clients referred because of their perpetration |  |  |
| Number who gave contact details for partner |  |  |
| Number successfully contacted |  |  |
| Number who took part in assessment |  |  |
| Number offered further support from within this organisation |  |  |
| Number referred to other relevant support services |  |  |
| Number who took up support from within this organisation |  |  |

**SECTION TWO: THE PROFILE OF ALL CLIENTS WHO COMPLETED THE PROGRAMME OR STOPPED ATTENDING DURING THIS PERIOD**

**Clients who completed or stopped attending programme in the year INSERT YEAR**

During this year, INSERT NUMBER of clients (INSERT NUMBER of men and INSERT NUMBER of women) referred as perpetrators using Intimate partner violence (IPV) completed the programme. INSERT NUMBER of clients referred as perpetrators stopped attending for other reasons (INSERT NUMBER of men and INSERT NUMBER of women).

The total number of clients who stopped attending was INSERT NUMBER [total of the above] (INSERT NUMBER of men and INSERT NUMBER of women).

In this year the programme the number of clients who completed or stopped attending programme, by original referral source, was as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Referral source** | **Number of clients men** | **Number of clients women** |
| Self-referral – from poster or internet or other |  |  |
| Police |  |  |
| Child protection service |  |  |
| Criminal courts |  |  |
| Probation |  |  |
| Civil courts (injunction) |  |  |
| Civil courts (child proceedings such as custody/access) |  |  |
| Addiction service |  |  |
| Health – doctor/hospital etc |  |  |
| Helpline |  |  |
| Friends/family/colleagues |  |  |
| Partner or ex-partner |  |  |
| Counselling or other mental health service |  |  |
| Relationship counselling |  |  |
| Restorative justice project |  |  |
| religious place (church, mosque, temple etc) |  |  |
| Prison – part of custodial sentence |  |  |
| *Somewhere else – please say where:* |  |  |

**The demographic profile of the CLIENTS WHO COMPLETED DURING THIS YEAR was as follows:**

Gender: INSERT NUMBER men (assessed as perpetrators) and INSERT NUMBER women assessed as perpetrators are included in this group of clients who completed or stopped attending during this year.

**Relationship status**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Men (assessed as using IPV) at start** | **Men at end** | **Women (assessed as using IPV) at start** | **Women at end** |
| Married/civil partnership/equivalent and living together |  |  |  |  |
| Cohabiting but not married etc |  |  |  |  |
| Not cohabiting or married |  |  |  |  |
| Married etc but separated |  |  |  |  |
| Not in relationship |  |  |  |  |

Of those INSERT NUMBER **of men ASSESSED AS USING intimate partner violence** who were cohabiting at the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER were no longer together at the end.

Of those INSERT NUMBER **of women ASSESSED AS USING intimate partner violence** who were cohabiting at the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER were no longer together at the end.

*Age group at PROGRAMME START:*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Age group | Number of men ASSESSED AS USING IPV | Number of women ASSESSED AS USING IPV |
| Under 18 |  |  |
| 18 – 21 |  |  |
| 22 – 30 |  |  |
| 31 – 40 |  |  |
| 41 – 50 |  |  |
| 51 – 60 |  |  |
| Over 60 |  |  |

*Employment status of clients assessed as perpetrating IPV:*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Employment status** | **Number of male IPV clients at start** | **Number of male IPV at finish** | **Number of women at start** | **Number of women at finish** |
| Employed full time |  |  |  |  |
| Employed part time |  |  |  |  |
| Combining part-time employment with caring for children/family |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed |  |  |  |  |
| Unemployed but caring for children/family |  |  |  |  |
| Full time caring for children/family |  |  |  |  |
| In Education/training |  |  |  |  |
| Retired |  |  |  |  |
| Unable to work because of sickness |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |

**PROGRAMME USE BY CLIENTS WHO STOPPED ATTENDING DURING THIS YEASR**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Men (perpetrators)** | **Women (perpetrators)** |
| Number offered programme place |  |  |
| Number who attended at least one session |  |  |
| Number who attended 12 -24 weeks |  |  |
| Number who attended for 24 or more weeks |  |  |

Of those clients who stopped attending during this year, the number of partners or ex-partners with whom the programme had had some contact was as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Partners of male perpetrators** | **Partners of female perpetrators** |
| Number of clients who had given contact details for partner |  |  |
| Number of partners/ex-partners successfully contacted |  |  |
| Number of partners/ex-partners who took part in assessment |  |  |
| Number of partners/ex-partners offered further support from within this organisation |  |  |
| Number of partners/ex-partners referred to other relevant support services |  |  |
| Number of partners/ex-partners who took up support from within this organisation |  |  |

**SECTION THREE: PROGRAMME OUTCOMES for male perpetrators only**

In this section we present the outcome data for the male perpetrators of domestic violence only. This is because the evidence base for measuring outcomes for women who use IPV is weak and therefore the toolkit questionnaires are not designed for use with women using IPV.

**Numbers of men evaluated**

INSERT NUMBER of male clients stopped attending the programme in the last year, either because they had completed the programme, or the client stopped attending for other reasons. All of these clients had previously completed self-evaluation forms about their previous use of violence, knowledge of strategies to prevent abusive behaviour, police calls etc. INSERT NUMBER of their female partners/ex-partners had also completed parallel forms with matching questions to give information from their own experience of the abuse. Staff had also completed violence and abuse inventories using data from clients, partners/ex-partners and other sources such as police etc. where possible.

At the time they stopped attending the programme, INSERT NUMBER of men completed self-evaluation forms about what they had learnt from the programme, any violence or abuse or police action since the start of the programme and their perception of the impact of any change in them on their partner/ex-partner. INSERT NUMBER of partners/ex-partners completed parallel forms asking for similar information from their experience.

Staff completed INSERT NUMBER of violence and abuse inventories for clients who stopped attending the programme, using data from the clients, partners/ex-partners and other information where available, such as police reports.

Data from and about the clients was compared between the point at which they started and the point when they finished. In some cases this was part way through the intervention. Data was collected at mid-way through the intervention to take an initial measure of programme impact and also in case the participant dropped out before the final questionnaire could be administered.

**Comparison of relationship status between start and end of the programme**

Comparing relationship status of clients at the start and end of the programme, from data given by the client (perpetrator):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Number at start** | **Number at end** |
| Together and living together |  |  |
| Together but living apart |  |  |
| In the process of splitting up |  |  |
| Victim wants relationship to end but perpetrator doesn’t |  |  |
| Perpetrator wants relationship to end but victim doesn’t |  |  |
| Perpetrator isn’t sure |  |  |
| Relationship ended and living apart |  |  |

**Impact of the programme on clients’ use of emotionally abusive behaviour**

At the start of the programme, clients were asked about their use of four types of emotionally abusive behaviour by asking them to tick if they had sometimes, often or never used different types of behaviour against their current or most recent partner, within the last twelve months and at any time.

Partners/ex-partners were asked the same questions and the data compared DELETE IF NO PARTNER CONTACT.

Numbers of clients reporting emotionally abusive behaviour at programme START

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **How often have you done the following to your partner/ most recent ex? (the one you have been abusive towards)** | **In last 12 months** | | | | | | **Before last 12 months** | | | | |
| **EMOTIONAL** | **Never** | | **Some-times** | | **Often** | | **Never** | | **Some-times** | | **Often** |
| Isolated from friends or family | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Told partner what to do/not do, where to go/not go, who to see/not see | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Made partner feel she had to ask permission to do certain things such as going out, seeing friends, etc. (above and beyond being polite) | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Threats to hurt children | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Prevented partner/ex from leaving home | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Controlled the family money | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Threats to hurt partner/ex | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Extreme jealousy or possessiveness | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Told partner/ex what to wear or not to wear or how to do hair/makeup | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Humiliated/embarrassed partner/ex in front of others | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Something else – please say what | |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |

**FINDING: at the programme start**

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of emotionally abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of emotionally abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of emotionally abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

By the time they stopped attending the programme, this picture had changed as follows:

**FINDING:** INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using SOME EMOTIONAL ABUSE since the start of the programme.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER and INSERT PERCENTAGE of men have NOT used any emotional abuse towards their partner.

**FINDING:** this means that INSERT NUMBER of men who had previously used emotional abuse stopped using it during the programme.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, the number of men using any emotional abuse “often” has reduced from INSERT NUMBER of men to INSERT NUMBER.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, the number of men using any form of emotional abuse “sometimes” has reduced from INSERT NUMBER of men to INSERT NUMBER.

**FINDING:** of those INSERT NUMBER of men who were using any form of emotional abuse “often” at the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER have stopped using any forms of emotional abuse, INSERT NUMBER have reduced their use of emotional abuse to “sometimes”.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of emotionally abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of emotionally abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 – 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using more than 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of emotionally abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

**FINDING *[AMEND AS NECESSARY DEPENDING ON FIGURES]*: this means that the during the programme, most/SOME men have stopped using EMOTIONAL ABUSE and of those who have used EMOTIONAL ABUSE, most/all have reduced the frequency and the types of abuse.**

**Impact of the programme on participants’ use of physical abuse**

At the start of the programme, participants were asked about their use of physical violence in the last 12 months and at any time. The responses were as follows:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **How often have you done the following to your partner/most recent ex?** | **In last 12 months** | | | | | **Before last 12 months** | | | | |
|  | **Never** | **Some-times** | | **Often** | | **Never** | | **Some-times** | | **Often** |
| Slapped/pushed/shoved |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Kicked/punched |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Beaten up |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Burned |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Bitten |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Restrained/held down/tied up |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Choked/strangled/suffocated |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Physically threatened |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Hit with object or weapon |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Threatened with object/weapon |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Prevented them getting help for injuries |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Stalked/followed them |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |
| Locked them in house or room |  | |  | |  | |  | |  |  |

At the half way point and then at the end of their involvement, they were asked about any use of physical violence since the start of the programme. The numbers of participants responding to each question on use of physical abuse since the start of the programme was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **T3: How often have you done the following to your partner/ex?** | **SINCE YOU STARTED COMING TO THE PROGRAMME** | | |
|  | **Never** | **Sometimes** | **OFTEN** |
| Slapped/pushed/shoved |  |  |  |
| Kicked/punched |  |  |  |
| Beaten up |  |  |  |
| Burned |  |  |  |
| Bitten |  |  |  |
| Restrained/held down/tied up |  |  |  |
| Choked/strangled/suffocated |  |  |  |
| Physically threatened |  |  |  |
| Hit with object or weapon |  |  |  |
| Threatened with object/weapon |  |  |  |
| Prevented them from getting help for injuries |  |  |  |
| Stalked/followed them |  |  |  |
| Locked them in house or room |  |  |  |

**FINDING: history of PHYSICAL ABUSE at the programme start**

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using ANY physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using ANY physical abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of physical abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 – 8 types of physical abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using more than 8 types of physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of physical abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of physically abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 – 8 types of physically abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of physically abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

**CHANGES IN USE OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE SINCE THE START OF THE PROGRAMME**

**FINDING:** INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using SOME PHYSICAL VIOLENCE since the start of the programme.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER and INSERT PERCENTAGE of men have NOT used any physical violence towards their partner.

**FINDING:** this means that INSERT NUMBER of men who had previously used physical violence stopped using it during the programme.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, the number of men using any physical violence “often” has reduced from INSERT NUMBER of men to INSERT NUMBER.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, the number of men using any form of physical violence “sometimes” has reduced from INSERT NUMBER of men to INSERT NUMBER.

**FINDING:** of those INSERT NUMBER of men who were using any form of physical violence “often” at the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER have stopped using any forms of physical violence, INSERT NUMBER have reduced their use of physical violence to “sometimes”.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of physical abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 – 8 types of physical abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using more than 8 types of physical abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using MORE THAN 8 types of physical abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

**FINDING *[AMEND AS NECESSARY DEPENDING ON FIGURES]*: this means that the during the programme, most men have stopped using physical violence and of those who have used physical violence, most/all have reduced the frequency and the types of physical violence.**

**Impact of the programme on participants’ use of sexually abusive behaviour**

At the start of the programme, clients were asked about their use of eight types of sexually abusive behaviour by asking them to tick if they had sometimes, often or never used different types of behaviour against their current or most recent partner, within the last twelve months and at any time. The numbers of men indicating they had done so in each time category was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **How often have you done the following to your partner/ex?** | **In last 12 months** | | | **Before last 12 months** | | |
|  | **Never** | **Some-times** | **Often** | **Never** | **Some-times** | **Often** |
| Touched in way which caused fear/alarm/distress |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forced into sexual activity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hurt during sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Refused their request to use contraception or have safer sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disrespected “safe” words or boundaries |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sexually assaulted/abused in any way |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Threatened them with sexual assault/abuse |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Raped them |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**FINDING: HISTORY OF SEXUALLY ABUSIVE BEHAVIOUR at the programme start**

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using ANY sexually abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using ANY sexually abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of sexually abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of sexually abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of sexually abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5-8 types of sexually abusive behaviour SOMETIMES in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5-8 types of sexually abusive behaviour OFTEN in the last 12 months.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5-8 types of sexually abusive behaviour AT ANY TIME including before the last twelve months.

At the half way point and then at the end of their involvement, they were asked about any use of sexually abusive behaviour since the start of the programme. The numbers of participants responding to each question on use of sexually abusive behaviour since the start of the programme was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **How often have you done the following to your partner/ex?** | **SINCE YOU STARTED COMING TO THE PROGRAMME** | | |
|  | **Never** | **Sometimes** | **OFTEN** |
| Touched in way which caused fear/alarm/distress |  |  |  |
| Forced into sexual activity |  |  |  |
| Hurt during sex |  |  |  |
| Refused their request to use contraception or have safer sex |  |  |  |
| Disrespected “safe” words or boundaries |  |  |  |
| Sexually assaulted or abused them in any way |  |  |  |
| Threatened them with sexual assault/abuse |  |  |  |
| Raped them |  |  |  |

**CHANGES IN USE OF SEXUAL ABUSE SINCE THE START OF THE PROGRAMME**

**FINDING:** INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using SOME sexually abusive behaviour since the start of the programme.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER and INSERT PERCENTAGE of men have NOT used any sexually abusive behaviour towards their partner.

**FINDING:** this means that INSERT NUMBER of men who had previously used sexually abusive behaviour stopped using it during the programme.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, the number of men using any sexually abusive behaviour “often” has reduced from INSERT NUMBER of men to INSERT NUMBER.

**FINDING:** since the start of the programme, the number of men using any form of sexually abusive behaviour “sometimes” has reduced from INSERT NUMBER of men to INSERT NUMBER.

**FINDING:** of those INSERT NUMBER of men who were using any form of sexually abusive behaviour “often” at the start of the programme, INSERT NUMBER have stopped using any forms of sexually abusive behaviour, INSERT NUMBER have reduced their use of sexually abusive behaviour to “sometimes”.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of sexually abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 1 – 4 types of sexually abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 - 8 types of sexually abusive behaviour SOMETIMES since the start of the programme.

INSERT NUMBER/% of clients reported using 5 – 8 types of sexually abusive behaviour OFTEN since the start of the programme.

**FINDING *[AMEND AS NECESSARY DEPENDING ON FIGURES]*: this means that most/all men who had ever used sexually abusive behaviour stopped doing so during the programme. Of those who did use any sexually abusive behaviour, most/all reduced the frequency and types of sexually abusive behaviour used.**

**Impact on participants’ understanding of the effects of abuse**

At each point, participants were asked what impact they thought the abuse had had on their partner/ex-partner and given options as follows, which include “didn’t have an impact”, 15 other possible impacts an “something else”, as follows:

Injuries such as bruises/scratches/minor cuts Injuries needing help from doctor/hospital

Didn’t have an impact She lost respect for you

Made her want to leave you Depression/Sleeping problems

She stopped trusting you She felt unable to cope

Felt worthless or lost confidence Felt sadness

Felt anxious/panic/lost concentration Felt isolated/stopped going out

Felt angry/shocked Self-harmed/felt suicidal

Feared for her life She had to be careful of what she said/did

Something else – please say what

IMPACT OF PROGRAMME ON UNDERSTANDING OF IMPACT OF ABUSE

|  |
| --- |
| **At the start of the programme** INSERT NUMBER of men ticked “didn’t have an impact”. By the end of the programme, this had reduced to INSERT NUMBER.  **At the start of the programme,** INSERT NUMBER ticked 1-4 impacts, INSERT NUMBER ticked 5-8 impacts and INSERT NUMBER ticked 9 or more impacts.  **By the end of the programme,** INSERT NUMBER ticked 1-4 impacts, INSERT NUMBER ticked 5-8 impacts and INSERT NUMBER ticked 9 or more impacts.  **FINDING** [AMEND ACCORDING TO ACTUAL FIGURES] the programme had a positive impact overall on men’s understanding of the impacts of abuse on their partners in that there was an increase in the numbers of men able to identify any impact and in the numbers of impacts identified by some/most/all men.  [IF YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO ANALYSE TO THIS EXTENT] By the end of the programme, of the INSERT NUMBER men who had previously ticked “didn’t have an impact”, INSERT NUMBER identified 1-4 impacts, INSERT NUMBER ticked 5-8 impacts and INSERT NUMBER ticked 9 or more.  By the end of the programme, of the INSERT NUMBER of men who had previously ticked 1-4 impacts, INSERT NUMBER of men ticked 5-8 impacts and INSERT NUMBER of men ticked 9 or more impacts.  **FINDING: the programme has a positive impact on most/all men’s understanding of the impact of abuse on their partners in that those who initially couldn’t identify any impacts or only a few were able to identify more impacts after attending the programme.** |

**In conclusion, it is clear that our programme is meeting the aim of increasing men’s understanding of the impact of their abusive behaviour on their partners/ex-partners.**

**Impact on clients who had previously injured their partner – physical violence**

Of those INSERT NUMBER of clients who had previously EVER injured their partner prior to programme involvement, the numbers who have continued to use physical violence since they started the programme are as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Last physical violence** | **Number of clients (of those who had previously ever injured partner; N = INSERT NUMBER)** | **Number of partner/ex-partner reports which correspond to the clients answer** |
| Since he started the programme |  |  |
| Before he started the programme |  |  |
| He never used physical violence |  |  |
| Not sure |  |  |
| *Didn’t answer the question* |  |  |

**FINDING:** INSERT NUMBER/% of clients who had previously injured their partner stopped using violence after they started the programme. INSERT NUMBER/% used violence during the programme.

FINDING: INSERT PERCENTAGE of clients who had previously injured their partner

**FINDING:** INSERT NUMBER [add those whose partner corroborated that they either hadn’t used violence since the start or not in the last three months] % of clients who had previously injured their partner had not used violence for at least three months by the time they stopped attending the programme.

**Impact on clients who had previously had involvement with criminal justice system**

INSERT NUMBER had previously ever had police call outs, INSERT NUMBER had had police call outs in the six months prior to the programme. Of these, the use of physical violence since programme start was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Last physical violence** | **Number of clients (of those who had had police call outs in the six months prior to programme; N = INSERT NUMBER)** | **Number of clients (of those who had EVER had police call outs prior to programme; N = INSERT NUMBER )** | **Number of partner/ex-partner reports which correspond to the clients answer** |
| Since he started the programme |  |  |  |
| Before he started the programme |  |  |  |
| He never used physical violence |  |  |  |
| Not sure |  |  |  |
| *Didn’t answer the question* |  |  |  |

INSERT NUMBER had ever had to appear in court because of domestic violence and INSERT NUMBER had appeared in court during the last six months. Of these, the use of physical violence since programme start was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Last physical violence** | **Number of clients (of those who had been in court in the six months prior to programme; N = INSERT NUMBER)** | **Number of clients (those who had EVER been in court for domestic violence; N = INSERT NUMBER )** | **Number of partner/ex-partner reports which correspond to the clients answer** |
| Since he started the programme |  |  |  |
| Before he/she started the programme |  |  |  |
| He/she never used physical violence |  |  |  |
| Not sure |  |  |  |
| *Didn’t answer the question* |  |  |  |

INSERT NUMBER had ever been convicted for domestic violence and INSERT NUMBER in the last six months. Of these, the use of physical violence since programme start was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Last physical violence** | **Number of clients (of those who had had convictions in the six months prior to programme; N = INSERT NUMBER)** | **Number of clients who had EVER had convictions for domestic violence (N = = INSERT NUMBER )** | **Number of partner/ex-partner reports which correspond to the clients answer** |
| Since he started programme |  |  |  |
| Before he started the programme |  |  |  |
| He never used physical violence |  |  |  |
| Not sure |  |  |  |
| *Didn’t answer the question* |  |  |  |

**Impact on involvement with police**

It is possible that any INCREASE in police involvement was due to the improved support and advocacy that the partners gained as a result of the client’s involvement with the programme. Improving support and advocacy for partners in this way is a programme success.

Comparing police call outs between start and end of programme involvement, the level of police involvement had changed as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Police call outs before programme start** | **Police call outs since programme start** | **Impact of programme (reduction or increase)** |
| Clients who had NEVER previously had police call outs |  |  |  |
| Clients who have EVER had police call outs for domestic violence |  |  |  |
| Clients who had had police call outs in six months prior to programme start |  |  |  |
| Clients to whom police had been called out more than twice in six months prior to programme start |  |  |  |
| TOTALS |  |  |  |

**FINDING:** overall the number of clients requiring police involvement INCREASED/REDUCED, comparing the number who had had police involvement in the six months prior to the programme and the number who had had police involvement during the programme.

**FINDING:** of those clients to whom the police had never been called out, the change in police involvement was INCREASE/DECREASE.

**FINDING:** INSERT PERCENTAGE REDUCTION/INCREASE in number of clients to whom the police had been called out because of their domestic violence, comparing numbers of clients who had EVER had police calls before programme with those since programme.

**FINDING:** INSERT PERCENTAGE REDUCTION/INCREASE between number of clients who had had police call outs in the six months prior to programme compared to number since programme start.

**Impact on children**

At the start of the programme INSERT NUMBER said that their children weren’t aware of the violence or that there was no impact on them.

By the end, INSERT NUMBER stated that they were aware of the impact on them.

At the start of the programme INSERT NUMBER of clients had children who were registered with child protection social workers as in need of protection.

By the end of their time with the programme, INSERT NUMBER of clients had children who were registered with child protection social workers as in need of protection.

At the start, INSERT NUMBER of clients were in dispute with the courts about whether or not they could have contact with their children. By the end, INSERT NUMBER of these clients had had the case resolved.

*[INSERT COMMENTARY ON THIS – REMEMBER THAT THE PROGRAMME MAY HAVE A COMPLEX IMPACT ON CHILDREN’S INVOLVEMENT IN CHILD PROTECTION OR COURTS – IT MAY BE BETTER FOR CHLDREN TO BE REFERRED TO CHILD PROTECTION OR COURTS AND THIS MAY BE A POSITIVE IMPACT OF THE PROGRAMME ON CHILDREN.]*

By the end, partners or ex-partners reported that:

INSERT NUMBER of the abusive partners were now aware of the impact of abuse on their children

INSERT NUMBER of the abusive partners no long made their children feel afraid compared to INSERT NUMBER who did so at the start of the programme.

In conclusion, our programme is meeting our aim of increasing men’s understanding of their abusive behaviour on their children.

**Impact on partners’ fears and client awareness of this**

At the start of the programme, clients were asked how often they thought their partner/ex-partner was afraid of them. Partners/ex-partners were also asked how often they were afraid of the perpetrator. Initial awareness of victims’ fears was as follows:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **How often are you fearful of your partner/ex? OR how often do you think your partner/ex is fearful of you** | **Clients (perpetrators) at start** | **Victims at start** | **Difference between clients’ perceptions and partners’ actual fear** |
| Always/mostly |  |  |  |
| Often |  |  |  |
| Sometimes |  |  |  |
| Not often |  |  |  |
| Never |  |  |  |

**FINDING**: at the start of the programme clients were not fully aware of the extent to which their partners were afraid of them.

At the end of the programme, partners/ex-partners were asked again about how fearful they were. This was compared to what they said at the start as follows:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **How often are you fearful of your partner/ex?** | **Start of programme (partners/ex-partners)** | **End of programme (partners/ex-partners)** |
| Always/mostly |  |  |
| Often |  |  |
| Sometimes |  |  |
| Not often |  |  |
| Never |  |  |

Clients were also asked about the extent to which they felt their partner/ex-partner was afraid of them.

|  |
| --- |
| **FINDING:** by the end of the programme, FEWER/MORE/THE SAME NUMBER of women were always afraid of their partner compared to the start.  **FINDING:** Of those INSERT NUMBER of women who were always afraid of their partner at the start, INSERT NUMBER were still always afraid, INSERT NUMBER were now “often” afraid, INSERT NUMBER were “sometimes” afraid, INSERT NUMBER were “not often” afraid and INSERT NUMBER were “never afraid”.  **FINDING:** Of those INSERT NUMBER of women who were “often” afraid of their partner at the start, INSERT NUMBER were now “always afraid”, INSERT NUMBER were still “often” afraid, INSERT NUMBER were now “sometimes” afraid, INSERT NUMBER were now “not often” afraid and INSERT NUMBER were now “never afraid”.  **CONCLUSION:** **the programme has a positive/negative/no impact on women’s fear of their abusive partner.**  **FINDING:** by the end of the programme, INSERT NUMBER of men identified the same level of fear their partner had towards them as their partners identified for themselves, INSERT NUMBER were one level below the assessment their partner gave of their fear, INSERT NUMBER were two levels below and INSERT NUMBER were three levels or identified no fear where their partners still felt some fear.  **CONCLUSION: the programme has a positive/negative/no impact on men’s understanding of their partner’s level of fear of them.** |

**Conclusions**

*This section is where you can sum up the programme use, characteristics of the clients and the overall impact of the programme on violence, police call outs, children and victims’ fears.*

*You should identify lessons for future practice and for other agencies.*