

Appendix to the European Standards for Perpetrator Programmes



Funded by
the European Union



www.work-with-perpetrators.eu

Appendix to the European Standards for Perpetrator Programmes

Year of publication: 2023

Suggested Citation: WWP EN [European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence]. (2023). Appendix to the European Standards for Perpetrator Programmes.

Editor: Kelly Litz

Graphic Design: Monika Medvey

Cover Photo: © fauxels, www.pexels.com/@fauxels/

© 2023, European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence
Greifswalder Straße 136, 10409 Berlin
www.work-with-perpetrators.eu

Disclaimer

The European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence (WWP EN) is not responsible for how third parties use this document. When using this document or related materials, third parties must reference WWP EN appropriately.



**Funded by
the European Union**

Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of WWP EN only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. The European Union cannot be held responsible for them.



Appendix to the European Standards for Perpetrator Programmes



www.work-with-perpetrators.eu

The Appendix accompanies the [European Standards for Perpetrator Programmes](#). As described in the section on the development of standards, the process consisted of several components, such as a desktop review of existing standards for perpetrator work, input from the expert group, consultations with perpetrator programmes who received WWP EN grants, rapid evidence review of research and analysis of the IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit (IMPACT Toolkit) results.

Rapid evidence review of research on safe and effective perpetrator work: Several scientific publications, including reviews and systematic reviews were examined for evidence of what works in perpetrator programmes and the main recommendations that could be integrated into the standards. Three successive search methods were used to extract studies for this rapid evidence review:

- a first search in electronic databases;
- a second search through the references cited in the studies located in the first search; and
- a third search in systematic reviews or meta-analyses currently available on the object of study.

This search was conducted in several databases (mainly *PsycInfo*, *MedLine* and *Scopus*) and several keywords were used (such as perpetrator programme outcome, perpetrator programme evidence, perpetrator programme efficacy, etc.). A total of 46 scientific articles and 33 reviews were included in the analysis.

Analysis of the IMPACT Toolkit results: Data collected through the IMPACT Outcome Monitoring Toolkit (IMPACT Toolkit) questionnaires being applied by a number of perpetrator programmes across Europe was analysed to look for further evidence of what works in perpetrator programmes (Vall et al., 2021). The IMPACT Toolkit is an outcome measurement tool that enables organisations to evaluate changes in perpetrators' behaviour, as well as the impact of that behaviour on the victim, therefore considering the possible changes to survivor safety. The IMPACT Toolkit includes two sets of questionnaires.

- One questionnaire gathers information about the characteristics of the programme (e.g., location, number and training of staff, approach and content of the programme, length and periodicity of sessions, individual or group sessions, quality assurance measures, partner contact procedures, collaboration with victim services, among others). All the programme variables were included in the analysis.
- The other set of questionnaires comprises ten versions adapted in relation to the treatment phases (five versions: T0—before starting the programme, T1—at the beginning of the programme, T2—in the middle, T3—at the end of the programme, and T4—follow-up) and in relation to the respondent (two versions: client and (ex-)partner). The scales included for the analysis of the standards were as follows: violent behaviour (emotional, physical, and sexual), victim's safety, changes achieved by men in the programme and impact of the abusive behaviour on victims (children and (ex)partners).

The procedure followed to conduct the analysis was first to create two variables of programme outcome. One variable was the change in the abusive behaviours, which included changes in the frequency and variety of the three measures of abusive behaviours from the beginning to end of the programme. The other measure of outcome was victim safety, which was assessed in several ways: the victim's perceived

safety in the presence of their abusive partner upon programme completion, the frequency with which the victim experienced fear in the presence of their abusive partner (Appendix, Table 7), and the frequency of police interventions prompted by incidents related to the situation (Appendix, Table 8). Finally, the programme characteristics gathered in the first questionnaire were also included in the analysis. A model was created to assess which programme characteristics had more impact on the two outcome variables.

The dataset included responses from participants of perpetrator programmes belonging to 15 distinct organisations. Moreover, it also gathered the responses of 414 programme participants (referred to as 'clients') and 268 participants identified as (ex)partners, who completed the IMPACT Toolkit questionnaires at the initiation of the perpetrator programme. Additionally, data were collected from 209 clients and 115 (ex)partners upon the programme's completion.

The narrative part of the IMPACT Toolkit results is presented in [European Standards for Perpetrator Programmes](#). In this document, key results from the analysis of the IMPACT toolkit are presented in the following tables.

Table 1. Difference in mean of frequency of violence over time, by perpetrator and their (ex)partners

Profile	Difference in mean of frequency	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Client	emotional abuse	164	-.3433396	.2904739	-1.461539	.3076923
(Ex)partner	emotional abuse	95	-.651417	.395302	-1.730769	.1538461
Client	sexual abuse	164	-.143946	.181782	-1.571429	.0714285
(Ex)partner	sexual abuse	95	-.3349624	.303948	-1.428571	0
Client	physical abuse	164	-.0323933	.1186001	-.75	.375
(Ex)partner	physical abuse	95	-.1546053	.292561	-1.25	.1875

Table 2. Difference in number of forms of violence over time, by perpetrator and their (ex)partners

Profile	Difference in nr of forms	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
Client	emotional abuse	164	-7.792683	5.772237	-24	3
(Ex)partner	emotional abuse	95	-12.69474	6.109068	-24	0
Client	sexual abuse	164	-3.689024	3.805985	-23	0
(Ex)partner	sexual abuse	95	-7.6	6.106153	-24	0
Client	physical abuse	164	-.5731707	1.609256	-10	1
(Ex)partner	physical abuse	95	-2.242105	3.926765	-16	0

Table 3. Mean in frequency of violence over time, by perpetrator and their (ex)partners

Time	Profile	Mean of frequency	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
T1	Client	emotional abuse	415	1.357461	.3381974	1	2.77
T1	(Ex)partner	emotional abuse	268	1.852429	.4523536	1	3
T1	Client	sexual abuse	415	1.141738	.2069169	1	2.785
T1	(Ex)partner	sexual abuse	268	1.390458	.3313506	1	2.857
T1	Client	physical abuse	415	1.058133	.1940525	1	3
T1	(Ex)partner	physical abuse	268	1.319496	.4692474	1	3
T3	Client	emotional abuse	194	1.075806	.149909	1	2
T3	(Ex)partner	emotional abuse	115	1.125327	.2273348	1	2.72
T3	Client	sexual abuse	194	1.0162	.0524388	1	1.285
T3	(Ex)partner	sexual abuse	115	1.019255	.083729	1	1.64
T3	Client	physical abuse	194	1.010309	.0700899	1	1.875
T3	(Ex)partner	physical abuse	115	1.015217	.0995437	1	2

Table 4. Number of forms of violence over time, by perpetrator and their (ex)partners

Time	Profile	Number of forms	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
T1	Client	emotional abuse	414	7.676329	6.57912	0	26
T1	(Ex)partner	emotional abuse	233	15.54077	6.72869	0	26
T1	Client	sexual abuse	414	3.603865	4.743042	0	28
T1	(Ex)partner	sexual abuse	233	8.527897	6.464781	0	28
T1	Client	physical abuse	414	.8309179	2.494626	0	16
T1	(Ex)partner	physical abuse	233	3.969957	5.436463	0	16
T3	Client	emotional abuse	164	.847561	1.682076	0	11
T3	(Ex)partner	emotional abuse	104	1.336538	2.031837	0	11
T3	Client	sexual abuse	164	.152439	.6226257	0	4
T3	(Ex)partner	sexual abuse	104	.2115385	.9621283	0	9
T3	Client	physical abuse	164	.0792683	.5859789	0	7
T3	(Ex)partner	physical abuse	104	.125	.8324429	0	8

Table 5 Regression results on victim support during the programme

VICTIM SUPPORT DURING PROGRAMME				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
SPECIFIC UNIT				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	-.0623 (0.710)	-.0441 (0.540)	-.097 (0.976)	.460 (0.701)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	-.011 (0.963)	.005 (0.985)	-1.525 (0.740)	-4.093 (0.375)
Observations	137	85	137	85
R-squared	0.1673	0.3182	0.1689	0.2547

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 6/A Regression result on form of coordination with victim support services

COORDINATION WITH VICTIM SUPPORT SERVICES				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
COORDINATION				
Org coordinates (Y)	.01045 (0.916)	-.1602* (0.094)	.854 (0.697)	-2.22 (0.189)
Joint plan (Y)	-.00148 (0.989)	-.022 (0.829)	-.581 (0.8)	.698 (0.7)
Regular exchange (Y)	0.0428 (0.658)	0 (omitted)	1.8146 (0.398)	0 (omitted)
Needs based exc. (Y)	-.1264 (0.195)	0 (omitted)	-2.972 (0.169)	0 (omitted)
Other	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y

Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	-0.0074 (0.961)	-0.097 (0.726)	-0.308 (0.927)	-5.41 (0.28)
Observations	83	56	83	56
R-squared	0.3966	0.474	0.279	0.3077

P values in parentheses
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 6/B Regression result on collaboration with other agencies

COLLABORATION WITH OTHER AGENCIES				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
NR OF AGENCIES				
4	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
6	.0469 (0.844)	NA	2.274 (0.619)	NA
8	-0.09388 (0.589)	-0.1716* (0.082)	-1.577 (0.636)	-2.221 (0.168)
10	-0.0607 (0.718)	-0.00214 (0.977)	-0.0186 (0.995)	1.3445 (0.269)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	-0.015 (0.950)	.0131 (0.961)	-1.712 (0.707)	-3.92 (0.378)
Observations	137	85	137	85
R-squared	0.1710	0.3541	0.1904	0.3173

P values in parentheses
*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 7 Regression result on organisation contacting (ex)partner

ORGANISATION CONTACTING (EX)PARTNER				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER ¹
CONTENT				
Yes	-.115 (0.486)	0 (omitted)	-3.245 (0.371)	0 (omitted)
METHOD				
Yes	-.0022 (0.957)	-.101 (0.162)	-1.204 (0.739)	-1.495 (0.213)
VICTIM SERVICES				
Yes	-.123 (0.529)	0 (omitted)	-3.245 (0.371)	0 (omitted)
VICTIM'S EXPERIENCE				
Yes	-.078 (0.689)	-.101 (0.162)	-1.204 (0.739)	-1.495 (0.213)
VICTIM EMOTIONAL SUP.				
Yes	.107 (0.599)	-.0959 (0.260)	1.82 (0.631)	-1.611 (0.252)
RISK ASSESSMENT				
Yes	-.0381 (0.480)	-.193 (0.061)	-1.622 (0.107)	-4.095 (0.015)
PROG. EVALUATION				
Yes	-.0358 ² (0.442)	-.163 (0.071)	0 (omitted)	-3.51 (0.019)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	.037/0.01 (0.87)	-.0512 (0.848)	.829 (0.874)	-3.45 (0.471)
Observations	141/103	88/62	103	88/62
R-squared	0.16/0.17	0.294/0.33	0.1988	0.236/34

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

¹ All variables work only individually

² Only works separately

Table 8 Regression result on victim's fear and safety

VICTIM'S FEAR AND SAFETY				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
VICTIM FEELS SAFE				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	-.0981** (0.015)	-.00907 (0.878)	-1.2683 (0.143)	-.5979 (0.571)
VICTIM IS AFRAID				
<i>Client - T1 - Never</i>	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Not often	.0304 (0.550)	.168** (0.032)	.9346 (0.401)	2.857** (0.040)
Sometimes	-.0779 (0.103)	-.0215 (0.761)	-1.4401 (0.166)	-.2773 (0.826)
Often	-.273*** (0.014)	-.313* (0.057)	-3.7326 (0.116)	-4.903* 0.094
Always/mostly	-.1367 (0.585)	-.0743 (0.844)	-2.3091 (0.673)	-.7502 (0.911)
<i>Client - T3 - Never</i>				
Not often	.00634 (0.899)	-.05399 (0.473)	-.8469 (0.439)	-1.6033 (0.235)
Sometimes	-.1425* (0.099)	-.0744 (0.562)	-1.939 (0.299)	.8001 (0.726)
Often	-.00158 (0.990)	-.08056 (0.683)	-.8676 (0.762)	-.7165 (0.839)
Always/mostly	.35897** (0.030)	.4636 (0.061)	7.176** (0.046)	6.462 (0.140)
<i>(Ex)partner - T1 - Never</i>				
Not often	-.03357 (0.669)	-.1501 (0.208)	-.2282 (0.894)	-1.846 (0.383)
Sometimes	-.0761 (0.342)	-.2389** (0.051)	-.9308 (0.594)	-3.757* (0.084)
Often	-.107 (0.227)	-.3316*** (0.015)	-1.7836 (0.356)	-4.9399** (0.041)
Always/mostly	-.2259 (0.177)	-.3134 (0.213)	-2.2509 (0.535)	-4.0159 (0.369)
<i>(Ex)partner - T3 - Never</i>				
Not often	.04817 (0.352)	.1172 (0.135)	.2275 (0.840)	1.3489 (0.332)
Sometimes	.0814 (0.217)	.2406** (0.018)	1.541 (0.284)	4.161** (0.021)
Often	.06536	.3478	1.425	7.427*

Always/mostly	(0.675) NA	(0.143) NA	(0.676) NA	(0.081) NA
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	.0953 (0.592)	-.0512 (0.848)	2.1859 (0.574)	-3.452 (0.471)
Observations	83	83	83	83
R-squared	0.6306	0.6435	0.5314	0.5693

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 9 Regression result on police intervention

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	POLICE BEING CALLED			
	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
<i>Client - T1</i>				
Not at all	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Once	-.1589*** (0.002)	-.073 (0.447)	-2.92*** (0.010)	-1.27 (0.410)
2-5 times	-.19828*** (0.002)	-.0503 (0.675)	-4.054*** (0.004)	-1.0 (0.604)
6-10 times	-.88394*** (0.000)	-.5869 (0.134)	-11.387*** (0.013)	-7.215 (0.249)
<i>Client - T3</i>				
Not at all	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Once	.087 (0.341)	.311* (0.082)	1.065 (0.601)	5.615** (0.051)
<i>(Ex)partner - T1</i>				
Not at all	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Once	.1907*** (0.001)	.0591 (0.576)	3.854*** (0.002)	.747 (0.659)
2-5 times	.10045** (0.052)	-.149 (0.135)	1.473 (0.197)	-3.246** (0.044)
6-10 times	.3785* (0.078)	.173 (0.674)	5.154 (0.278)	3.908 (0.555)
More than 10 times	.07603* (0.560)	-.0196 (0.938)	.892 (0.759)	-1.929 (0.634)

<i>(Ex)partner - T3</i>				
Not at all	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Once	-0.0312 (0.811)	-0.2117 (0.404)	-0.0728 (0.980)	-5.426 (0.184)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	-0.01169 (0.936)	-0.0774 (0.784)	.1902 (0.953)	-4.277 (0.347)
Observations	88	88	88	88
R-squared	0.6941	0.4585	0.563	0.4563

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 10 - Regression result on number of children and their age

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	CHILDREN'S AGE + NUMBER			
	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
NR OF CHILDREN				
1	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
2	-.00623 (0.898)	.02088 (0.814)	-.4359 (0.637)	.7075 (0.630)
3	-.0183 (0.785)	.00429 (0.973)	-.8726 (0.492)	-.2086 (0.920)
4 or more	-.0459 (0.660)	-.0554 (0.773)	-3.372* (0.091)	-2.273 (0.475)
CHILDREN'S AGE				
0-4	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
5-9	.0239 (0.723)	.258* (0.079)	.6902 (0.588)	4.617** (0.057)
10-14	.0464 (0.591)	.228 (0.229)	1.537 (0.348)	4.371 (0.164)
15-18	.0084 (0.919)	.2749* (0.105)	.4728 (0.762)	4.112 (0.140)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	.0158 (0.942)	-.093 (0.793)	.163 (0.968)	-6.594 (0.264)
Observations	96	57	96	57
R-squared	0.2551	0.451	0.2472	0.4072

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 11 - Regression result on impact on children

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	IMPACT ON CHILDREN			
	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
NO IMPACT				
Client - T1	-.0469 (0.474)	-.0967 (0.398)	-.2041 (0.869)	-.4489 (0.801)
(Ex)partner - T1	-.0544 (0.166)	-.12638* (0.095)	-1.374* (0.066)	-2.3203** (0.051)
UPSET W CLIENT				
Client - T1	.1248 (0.106)	-.276** (0.054)	1.0167 (0.481)	-4.3226** (0.054)
(Ex)partner - T1	-.0509 (0.290)	.00577 (0.947)	-.595 (0.511)	-.9621 (0.481)
UPSET W (EX)PARTNER				
Client - T1	-.2877*** (0.005)	.0591 (0.751)	-3.8874** (0.039)	-.6069 (0.835)
(Ex)partner - T1	-.1011 (0.117)	.002199 (0.988)	-1.778 (0.143)	-.06433 (0.977)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	.1338 (0.534)	.111 (0.762)	3.0669 (0.450)	-1.458 (0.799)
Observations	86	64	86	64
R-squared	0.3678	0.4283	0.3334	0.4236

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 12 Regression result on programme characteristics

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	PROGRAMME CHARACTERISTICS			
	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
REFERRAL ROUTE				
Voluntary	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Mandatory	-.0108 (0.832)	-.1467 (0.151)	-.294 (0.766)	-2.275 (0.176)
Signpost	-0.007 (0.866)	-.1386 (0.089)	-.663 (0.382)	-2.093 (0.118)
Mixed	-.0269 (0.632)	.0344 (0.729)	-1.061 (0.328)	-1.024 (0.532)
FACILITATOR + SESSION TYPE				
male#one#Individual	-0.132* (0.078)	-.299** (0.053)	-2.792** (0.054)	-4.549* (0.074)
male#one#Both	-0.078 (0.189)	-.0144 (0.897)	-1.145 (0.318)	.0014 (0.999)
mixed#team#Group	-0.0498 (0.541)	-.151 (0.288)	-1.821 (0.249)	-3.530 (0.133)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	0.0175 (0.924)	.1099 (0.715)	.155 (0.965)	-1.092 (0.825)
Observations	141	88	141	88
R-squared	0.1914	0.3693	0.2037	0.3325

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 13 Regression result on programme methodology and content

INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	METHOD + CONTENT			
	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
DULUTH				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	-.01668 (0.920)	-.0402 (0.795)	-.336 (0.916)	-1.1624 (0.647)
CONTENT CATEGORY				
Emotional regulation	-.2284 (0.540)	.1538 (0.010)	-5.74 (0.423)	2.252** (0.020)
Social	.215 (0.480)	0 (omitted)	5.262 (0.369)	0 (omitted)
Gender	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)
Individual trauma	-.09126 (0.289)	-.01524 (0.810)	-1.751 (0.290)	.04985 (0.962)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	.2201 (0.625)	-.28035 (0.394)	4.372 (0.614)	-7.708 (0.155)
Observations	141	88	141	88
R-squared	0.1674	0.3280	0.1856	0.2965

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 14 Regression result on quality measurement

QUALITY MEASURES (FOR STAFF)				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
GBV training				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	-.176 (0.351)	.1652** (0.041)	-5.036 (0.232)	1.363 (0.333)
GENERAL training				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	.169 (0.218)	-.003 (0.975)	4.76 (0.119)	.922 (0.599)
SUPERVISION				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	-.1226 (0.375)	0 (omitted)	-2.37 (0.440)	0 (omitted)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	-.0047 (0.981)	-.01989 (0.942)	-.3489 (0.936)	-8.556* (0.095)
Observations	86	88	86	59
R-squared	0.3954	0.2946	0.2742	0.2907

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Table 15 Regression result on organisational characteristics

ORGANISATIONAL CHARACTERISTICS				
INDEPENDENT VARIABLES	Mean of difference in mean of frequency of violence		Mean of difference in number of forms of violence	
	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER	CLIENT	(EX)PARTNER
ACCREDITATION				
No	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Yes	-.0838 (0.455)	.0768 (0.225)	-1.371 (0.525)	1.877* (0.072)
FULL TIME STAFF				
Nr of full time workers (integer)	-.0104 (0.710)	.0206 (0.063)	-.429 (0.427)	.1414 (0.430)
ORG TYPE	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)
LOCATION TYPE				
Other	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)	0 (base)
Urban and Rural	-.1717 (0.493)	.0162 (0.882)	-5.134 (0.287)	-.929 (0.604)
Urban area	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)	0 (omitted)
CONTROLS				
Client age	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client employment status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client income status	Y	Y	Y	Y
Client relationship status in T3	Y	Y	Y	Y
Country	Y	Y	Y	Y
Constant	.0764 (0.827)	-.239 (0.418)	2.536 (0.707)	-5.922 (0.222)
Observations	140	88	140	88
R-squared	0.167	0.3280	0.1855	0.2965

P values in parentheses
 *** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

The European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence (WWP EN)

Founded in 2014, the European Network for the Work with Perpetrators of Domestic Violence (WWP EN) is a membership organisation with members including perpetrator programmes, research institutes, and victim/survivor support services. We unite our members across Europe for a common goal: accountable, effective, and victim-centred perpetrator work.

We believe that gender-based violence violates women's human rights and aim to create a gender equitable world by supporting member organisations in their work with those who choose to use violence in intimate partnerships, predominantly men.

As an umbrella organisation, WWP EN supports its members in offering and developing responsible, victim-focused perpetrator work. As part of our capacity-building, we offer innovative and essential training for perpetrator programmes. Additionally, we work to promote the Istanbul Convention together with a growing network of European and global partners.

**European Network
for the Work with Perpetrators
of Domestic Violence - WWP EN**

info@work-with-perpetrators.eu

www.work-with-perpetrators.eu



www.work-with-perpetrators.eu